



PRESIDENT'S MESSAGE

As I write this, we are about a month past the longest day of the year, and it is hard to believe that the days are starting to get shorter. I look forward to the fall season but must admit that I do not like the long winter nights when every day you start in the dark and end the day the same way! In the meantime, let's enjoy the summer while we can. I hope everyone is having a productive cropping/aquatic/turf/right-of-way/laboratory/you-name-it Weed Science season. A few of the happenings around the WSSA are listed below:

Congressional Visits: Thanks to Lee Van Wychen, Executive Director of Science Policy, for setting up many visits with Congress and other stakeholders the week of April 17th in Washington, DC. Along with Lee, presidents of the various Weed Science Society Regions visited Capitol Hill, including Eric Castner (SWSS), Curtis Rainbolt (WSWS), Reid Smeda (NCWSS), and Wes Everman (NEWSS). We were able to visit Congressional members and staff from NC, MO, OK, ID, and TX (apologies for any that I missed) to talk about funding for IR-4, USDA, Ag research funding in the Farm Bill, and the possibility of creating an Invasive Species Management Fund.

We had the opportunity to listen to Michael Regan, EPA Administrator, as he testified before the House Ag Subcommittee, visit with the National Coalition for Food and Agricultural Research and the American Soybean Association. We also had an opportunity to visit the CropLife America reception honoring the retirement of Ray McCallister. Ray has been a tremendous workhorse for the crop protection business and agriculture in general as he represented CropLife America. During the week, we also sat in on a speech by Rod Snyder, Senior Advisor for Agriculture to the EPA Administrator. These events connected well with the WSSA topics that were discussed during our annual meeting in the first quarter of 2023.

Thanks to Hilary Sandler for conducting the WSSA survey relative to our annual meeting this year. One of the biggest concerns is around having concurrent sessions that people would like to attend but can't go to both. This is very

CONTINUED on pg 2 >>

Coming Changes
for WSSA Journals
(see inside, page 3)

IN THIS ISSUE

Changes for WSSA Journals	3	WSSA Contacts	9	Calendar of Upcoming Events	12
Ideas for Applied Weed Research	3	Necrology	10		
Washington Report	4	Important Contacts	11		

PRESIDENT'S MESSAGE CONTINUED from pg 1

difficult to get around, especially when the majority of people would not like to extend the meeting length. Any ideas here would be greatly appreciated.

Jill Schroeder (WSSA-CAST Liaison) indicates that the Council for Agricultural Science and Technology (CAST) is looking forward to congratulating Kent Schescke on his upcoming August 1 retirement. Kent was CAST Executive Vice President/CEO for eight years. The organization is also looking forward to welcoming Chris Boomsma as he leads CAST forward as the new EVP/CEO beginning in August.

Greg Dahl, WSSA President-Elect, has been working to pull together symposia and overall program for the 2024 meeting in San Antonio, TX. We had nine submissions this year and, as expected, it is difficult to choose the top potential ones. Some of the symposia not making the annual meeting list may be conducted as webinars.

EPA Liaison Mark VanGessel participated in two tours in June. The first was a Pesticide Policy Coalition tour for EPA, USDA, and FWS on June 13th. The tour visited a research center in Delaware and in the afternoon the tour stopped at Harborview Farms, Rock Hall, Maryland, that was hosted by owner Trey Hill. Harborview Farms included discussions and demonstrations on precision technologies, soil health, and pesticide storage. The tour concluded with a demonstration of pesticide application with helicopters by the National Agricultural Aviation Association. Later in the month, he attended an IR-4 sponsored Crop Research Tour for EPA. The tour included a visit to the University of Delaware's Research and Education Center to discuss past and present IR-4 research projects under weed science, plant pathology, and entomology. The afternoon portion was at the University of Maryland Lower Eastern Shore Research and Education Center and the discussion focused on GLP residue research trials and use of drones for precision herbicide application.

The WSSA and SWSS Boards will be meeting in San Antonio in early September. Be on the lookout for the Call for Papers and more information relative to our 2024 annual meeting — I look forward to seeing everyone in San Antonio and conducting this meeting in conjunction with SWSS!

Sincerely,
Carroll Moseley
WSSA President

WSSA FUTURE MEETING SITES AND DATES

Jan. 22–25, 2024
WSSA-SWSS Joint Meeting
San Antonio, Texas
www.wssa.net and
www.newss.org

**WSSA HOME PAGE
ACCESSED AT:
www.wssa.net**

THINK NEWSLETTER
Deadline for October issue
September 1, 2023

**SEND NEWSLETTER
MATERIAL TO:**
Carl Libbey
225 S. 10th Street
Mount Vernon, WA 98274
newsletter@wssa.net
Phone: (360) 708-5543

WSSA NEWSLETTER

VOL. 51, NO. 2

JULY, 2023

Published quarterly by the Weed Science Society of America. Subscription included in the annual dues paid by members of the Society. Address correspondence and information to:

Carl Libbey
225 S. 10th St.
Mount Vernon, WA 98274
newsletter@wssa.net

CHANGES COMING FOR WSSA JOURNALS

Following discussions with Cambridge University Press on how WSSA journals can better serve authors and readers, we are transitioning from the current hybrid model that combines open access with traditional publication of articles behind a subscription paywall. Starting January 2024, *Weed Technology* will switch to full open access. Authors will pay a one-time processing charge, and all new published articles will be immediately and permanently accessible to any reader. The status of articles published in *Weed Technology* prior to January 2024 will remain unchanged: access to older articles not published open access will require an institutional library subscription or WSSA membership. So far in 2023 over 80% of authors publishing in *Weed Technology* have chosen open access, so this is an increasingly popular option. Many authors are covered by Cambridge University Press “Read and Publish” agreements that allow open access publication at no cost. Go to <https://www.cambridge.org/core/services/open-access-policies/waivers-discounts> to see if your institution is part of this program.

Weed Technology will also switch to continuous publication from January 2024 onwards. Instead of grouping accepted articles into six numbered issues per year, formatted and proofed papers will immediately be published in a single annual volume that fills continuously throughout the year. This allows much faster publication with citable volume and page numbers, as authors will no longer have to wait for accepted papers to be assigned to a numbered issue. Accepted manuscripts that are not yet formatted and proofed will continue to be rapidly posted online as FirstView papers with a citable DOI.

Weed Science and *IPSM* will transition to full open access and continuous publication in 2025, reducing time to publication and making WSSA journal content available to a wider audience. We are now working to streamline manuscript submission for authors — more on this soon, and we continue to welcome any questions and comments.

Sarah Ward and Chris Willenborg
WSSA Co-Directors of Publications

Ideas for *Applied Weed Research*

Stephen Moss Consulting, UK • alopecurus@aol.com



As I will soon retire from active research, after over 50 years, I thought a list of applied topics requiring more research might have merit.

I am certainly not suggesting that all of these ideas are original, novel or have never been studied previously. But, in my opinion, there is scope for undertaking more research that is both good scientifically and, more importantly, has real practical application. If I have

one criticism of current weed research in Western Europe it is that too much emphasis is placed on knowledge acquisition rather than its practical application. And surely, weed research is an applied discipline?

These ideas primarily relate to a Western European grass-weed perspective, but should have wider relevance in other weed species and agronomic situations. They are presented under four broad categories: Weed Biology; Herbicides; Weed Evolution; Student-type projects.

The six-pages of ideas have been

published recently on the European Weed Research Society (EWRS) website <https://www.ewrs.org/en/info/Blog/109> and in the Journal of the Asian Pacific Weed Science Society 5: 32-37 http://apwss.org.in/Weeds/File/2023_5_Issue-1_32-37.pdf

The topics are presented with a limited amount of explanation but if anyone wants more explanation or suggestions on how these issues might be studied, I will be happy to respond.

Stephen Moss

WASHINGTON REPORT

by Lee Van Wychen, Director of Science Policy

WEED SCIENCE SOCIETY PRESIDENTS VISIT WASHINGTON, DC

During the week of April 17, the presidents from the four regional weed science societies and WSSA traveled to Washington, DC to advocate on behalf of weed science policy initiatives and help WSSA achieve its mission of promoting research, education, and awareness of weeds in managed and natural ecosystems. Our primary mission during the week was meeting with the president's elected members of Congress and their staff from their home states. We discussed an array of weed science related topics, including:

- Support \$8 billion in mandatory agricultural research funding in the next Farm Bill. U.S. funding peaked in 2002 and has declined by 1/3 since then, hitting the lowest levels since 1970. While U.S. investments decline, China's funding for ag research has grown to more than \$10 billion – double of what the U.S. currently spends. Current U.S. ag research funding is just under \$5 billion and most of that is discretionary funding that relies on year-to-year appropriations from Congress.
- Support USDA-NIFA IR-4 Project funding at \$25 million in FY 2024. The IR-4 Project was funded at \$15 million in FY 2023.
 - There is a phenomenal need for specialty crop protection products to help feed the world. The IR-4 Project was established in 1963 by USDA to conduct research and develop the data needed to facilitate the registration of crop protection products, including reduced risk and bio-based pesticides, for minor use crops such as fruits, vegetables, herbs, spices,



Pictured (L to R): Wes Everman, NC State, NEWSS President; Curtis Rainbolt, BASF, WSSS President; Carroll Moseley, Syngenta, WSSA President; Eric Castner, FMC, SWSS President; and Reid Smeda, University of Missouri, NCWSS President

ornamental plants and other horticultural crops. The IR-4 Project provides an incredible return on investment as it contributes \$8.97 billion to the annual U.S. GDP.

- Support the USDA-NIFA Crop Protection and Pest Management (CPPM) program at \$25 million in FY 2024. The CPPM program was funded at \$21 million in FY 2023.
 - The CPPM program is a highly effective competitive grant program that tackles real world weed, insect, and disease problems with applied solutions through the concepts of integrated pest management (IPM). The CPPM also funds the Regional IPM Centers and Extension IPM programs.
- Amend the definition of a “plant pest” in the Plant Protection Act so that it includes noxious weeds and invasive plants. Currently, only

“parasitic plants” are listed in the definition of “plant pest” ([7 USC 104, S.7702 – Definitions, \(14\) Plant Pest, \(C\)](#)).

- USDA-APHIS receives almost \$400 million per year in their Plant Health account to prevent the introduction and spread of “plant pests” in the U.S., but only a fraction goes toward weed prevention and surveillance. One example is their “Plant Pest” and Disease Management and Disaster Prevention (PPDMDP) program, which directs \$75 million a year to state governments, universities, non-profit institutions, industry, and tribal nations – to support projects that protect specialty crops, nursery systems, forestry, and other agricultural production systems and natural resources from harmful and ex-

CONTINUED on pg 5 >>>

otic “plant pests.” Very few of the 300+ “plant pest” projects supported by the PPDMDP involve noxious weeds or invasive plants.

The weed science society presidents also attended a number of other events and receptions while on Capitol Hill. This included a House Ag Committee hearing with EPA Administrator Michael Regan. This was the first time an EPA Administrator testified to the House Ag committee since 2016. They also attended a Senate Ag Committee hearing to examine Farm Bill policy, focusing on making conservation programs work for farmers and ranchers.

Off the Hill, they met with the American Soybean Association and attended the National Coalition for Food and Agricultural Research (NCFAR) board of directors meeting, which featured a lively discussion of agriculture research priorities in the next Farm Bill. They also attended part of the CropLife America (CLA) – Responsible Industry for Sound Environment (RISE) Spring Regulatory Conference where the keynote speaker was Rod Snyder, Senior Advisor for Agriculture to EPA Administrator Regan.

Another highlight of the CLA RISE Spring Conference was the retirement reception for Ray McCallister. He is a lifetime weed scientist and a member of WSSA’s Science Policy Committee. Ray is highly regarded here in DC for his expertise on pesticide regulatory policy. He semi-retired from CLA on April 1 after 33-plus years of service. Ray’s contact info is (202-577-6657) and rsm6consulting@gmail.com. Congratulations, Ray!

Many thanks to presidents’ Carroll Moseley, Reid Smeda, Wes Everman, Eric Castner, and Curtis Rainbolt for their professionalism and leadership during the week. I can assure you that the national and regional weed science societies are in good hands! I’d also like to thank them for taking the time out of their busy schedules to travel to DC.

USDA ANNOUNCES NEW USDA NIFA DIRECTOR



On April 24, USDA announced the appointment of Dr. Manjit K. Misra as the new Director of the National Institute of Food and Agriculture (NIFA). Dr. Misra started his new role on Monday, May 8, 2023.

Prior to joining USDA, Dr. Misra served as a Professor of Agricultural and Biosystems Engineering at Iowa State University. For more than 30 years, he was Director of the university’s Seed Science Center. The center has administered the National Seed Health System, authorized by USDA APHIS since 2001. Dr. Misra also was founding Director of Iowa State’s Biosafety Institute for Genetically Modified Agricultural Products.

In 2012, Dr. Misra was appointed Chair of the USDA National Genetic Resources Advisory Council (NGRAC), a position he held until 2017. Misra has served on more than 60 local, national, and international boards and committees. These include the Steering Committee for the Food and Agriculture Organization’s (FAO) International Conference on Biotechnology, the Scientific Advisory Council of the American Seed Research Foundation, the Board of Directors of the Iowa Seed Association, the Iowa Crop Improvement Association, and the First the Seed Foundation.

Dr. Misra earned a Bachelor of Science in Agricultural Engineering in India, a Master of Science and a Doctor of Philosophy in Agricultural Engineering at the University of Missouri-Columbia. He is a researcher with 137 publications and an innovator with ten patents. During his tenure as the Director of the Seed Science Center, the faculty and staff conducted seed programs in 79 countries, including 34 countries in Africa.

SUPPORT FOR FY 2024 APPROPRIATIONS AND FARM BILL

Since January, the national and regional weed science societies have signed onto five ag research coalition letters that have been submitted to Congress regarding the Farm Bill and the FY 2024 budget. Current requests for the FY 2024 budget include:

- Provide \$2.080 billion for the USDA NIFA research, providing increased support for the ag research capacity programs such as the Hatch Act and Smith Lever Act that are fundamental to the extramural research, education, and Cooperative Extension system. This includes:
 - \$300 million in FY 2024 for the Hatch Act account, which supports 1862 land-grant university federal - state partnerships
 - \$108 million in FY2024 for the Evans-Allen account to provide capacity funding for food and agricultural research at the 1890 land-grant universities and Tuskegee University
 - \$46 million to support McIntire-Stennis Cooperative Forestry research, which investigates carbon sequestration, the development of bio-based products, and the prevention of forest fires
 - \$420 million in Smith-Lever3(b) and 3(c) funds to support the Cooperative Extension System
 - \$88 million for the Extension Services of 1890 land-grant universities
 - \$17.5 million in FY2024 for Tribal Colleges Extension
 - Provide \$500 million in funding for the Agriculture and Food Research Initiative (AFRI), USDA’s premier competitive research program.
- Provide \$500 million in funding for the Research Facilities Act
 - A 2021 Association of Public and Land-Grant Universities (APLU) report found that 70% of research facilities at US public agricultural

CONTINUED on pg 6 >>>


colleges are at the end of their useful lives, **with \$11.5 billion in deferred maintenance.** The Research Facilities Act allows for the construction of modern facilities at colleges that support agricultural research, which will increase pest and disease preparedness and the use of advanced technologies nationwide.

- Provide \$1.95 billion for the Agricultural Research Service (ARS)
 - As the USDA’s principal in-house research agency, ARS is one of the only funding sources available for long-term agricultural research. The ARS labs and research sites foster synergistic research collaborations across scientific disciplines and geographic locations. This funding would also help address ARS infrastructure improvements critical to carrying out its research responsibilities.
- Provide at least \$50 million in funding for the Agriculture Advanced Research and Development Authority (AGARDA).
 - Advanced research agencies have been effectively deployed in defense (DARPA), energy (ARPA-E), and health (ARPA-H) to tackle the biggest challenges facing those areas in novel and groundbreaking ways. AGARDA was established in the 2018 Farm Bill and modeled after DARPA, ARPA-E, and ARPA-H. When funded, AGARDA will foster research, development, and technology transfer, resulting in significant benefits across the US food and agriculture value chain.

SUPREME COURT RULES ON WATERS OF THE UNITED STATES

The US Supreme Court released its opinion on May 25 in *Sackett v. EPA* and ruled in favor of the Sacketts. All **nine members of the court rejected** the federal government’s “**significant nexus**” test, which was crafted by for-

Operative Definition of "Waters of the United States"



Also operative in the U.S. territories and the District of Columbia
 *The pre-2015 regulatory regime is operative for the Commonwealth of Kentucky and Plaintiff-Appellants in Kentucky Chamber of Commerce, et al. v. EPA (No. 23-5345) and their members (Kentucky Chamber of Commerce, U.S. Chamber of Commerce, Associated General Contractors of Kentucky, Home Builders Association of Kentucky, Portland Cement Association, and Georgia Chamber of Commerce).

mer Justice Anthony Kennedy in the 2006 *Rapanos* decision. In other words, the “significant nexus test” is no longer an appropriate measure to determine a Water of the United States (WOTUS). Although there was a 5-4 split over what the test should be, not one justice attempted to defend “significant nexus” as an appropriate test.

The Court held that for a wetland to qualify as a WOTUS and be subject to federal regulation, there must be a **continuous surface connection** to a waterbody. Justice Alito’s majority opinion said “adjacent” wetlands have to be close enough to other waters covered by the Clean Water Act (CWA) as to be indistinguishable. It also said the “significant nexus test” results in an unchecked definition of WOTUS which means that a staggering array of landowners are at risk of criminal prosecution or onerous civil penalties.

Justice Brett Kavanaugh, in the minority opinion joined by Justices Sonia Sotomayor, Elena Kagan and Ketanji Brown Jackson, said the majority engaged in a rewriting of the law by interpreting “adjacent wetlands” to mean “adjoining.” Kavanaugh, how-

ever, noted that in 1977, Congress added “adjacent” wetlands to the definition of WOTUS in the law.

Over the history of the CWA, the Army Corps has adopted a variety of interpretations of its authority over wetlands – some more expansive and others less expansive. However, the Army Corps has always included in the definition of ‘**adjacent wetlands**’ not only wetlands adjoining covered waters but also those wetlands that are separated from covered waters by a manmade dike or barrier, natural river berm, beach dune, or the like. Kavanaugh argued that “adjacent wetlands” is a broader category than “adjoining wetlands.”

EPA is expected to release post-Sackett guidance soon. However, as a result of on-going litigation, 27 states (in purple) should use the **pre-2015 regulatory rule** where WOTUS are:

1. Traditional interstate navigable waters
2. Relatively permanent bodies of water connected to traditional interstate navigable waters
3. Wetlands that have a continuous

CONTINUED on pg 7 ➤➤

surface connection with either (1) or (2)

The May 25th WOTUS decision in *Sackett v. EPA* is also another sign that the Supreme Court may reverse the **Chevron doctrine**. The Chevron doctrine is an administrative law principle that compels federal courts to **defer to a federal agency's interpretation** of an ambiguous or unclear statute that Congress delegated to the agency to administer. The principle derives its name from the 1984 U.S. Supreme Court case *Chevron U.S.A., Inc. v. Natural Resources Defense Council, Inc.* The Supreme Court has already signaled its concern for agency interpretations of existing law, ruling in a case last year that EPA exceeded its authority in regulations designed to curb greenhouse gas emissions from power plants.

Finally, the Supreme Court also affirmed that states have the “primary” responsibility to prevent water pollution. Under the CWA, states can get EPA authorization to take over wetlands permitting, which is gener-

ally handled by the Corps of Engineers. Three states currently have such authority – New Jersey, Michigan and Florida.

LSU AND ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS HOST AQUATIC WEED TOUR IN LOUISIANA

During the week of June 5, I had the chance to tour Dr. Chris Mudge's aquatic weed research trials at LSU along with staff from the EPA and Army Corps of Engineers. We also got to explore the different aquatic weed problems they face in the Atchafalaya National Wildlife Refuge (NWR) and Lake Henderson. The Atchafalaya NWR is approximately 44,000 acres and encompasses Lake Henderson, which was formed by man-made levees in the 1930's and serves as a relief outlet for the Mississippi River. The elevation of Lake Henderson is set at nine feet above mean sea level (MSL), but can range from six feet MSL to 18 feet MSL. From August through October, the lake is lowered to six feet MSL. These draw-downs expose the lake

bottom, which helps to control aquatic plant infestations like water hyacinth, hydrilla, giant salvinia and Cuban bulrush.

I would like to send a special thank you to Dr. Mudge and his staff for organizing the tour and sharing their knowledge and expertise on aquatic weed management. It takes a lot of work to set these tours up, especially for aquatic weeds where you have to line up airboats to tour some of the swamps and bayous. We got some unique insights into the aquatic weed management challenges faced by the Louisiana Department of Wildlife and Fisheries and Army Corps of Engineers.

WEED SCIENCE SOCIETIES SUPPORT AGRICULTURAL LABELING UNIFORMITY ACT (HR 4288)

Below is a support letter for H.R. 4288, the Agricultural Labeling Uniformity Act that was sent to Congressional leaders. This is a bipartisan bill sponsored by Reps. Dusty Johnson (R-



Touring Dr. Chris Mudge's mesocosm research trials on giant salvinia at LSU. Pictured (L to R): Kristy Crews, Product Manager, EPA Office of Pesticide Programs (OPP) Registration Division (RD), Fungicide Branch; Jessica Post, Economist, EPA OPP Biological and Economic Analysis Division, Francisco Llarena-Arias, Environmental Protection Specialist, EPA OPP RD, Fungicide and Herbicide Branch; Chris Mudge, Research Biologist: U.S. Army Engineer Research & Development Center and Adjunct Professor: LSU School of Plant, Environmental & Soil Sciences; Jeremy Crossland, US Army Corps of Engineers, Land Uses and Natural Resources Program Manager; and Lee Van Wychen, WSSA Executive Director of Science Policy.



Touring Belle River in the Atchafalaya National Wildlife Refuge about 30 miles west of Baton Rouge, LA. Dr. Chris Mudge attempts to drive his boat through an untreated area full of giant salvinia. Note: behind us is open water that has been treated by the Louisiana Department of Wildlife and Fisheries.

SD) and Jim Costa (D-CA) regarding FIFRA pesticide labeling uniformity. The six national and regional weed science societies endorsed the letter (below) along with 355 other signers.

We write to express our great concern with recent misinterpretations of long-standing policy regarding the regulation and labeling of pesticide products, as some states have begun to regulate pesticides in a manner contradicting decades of scientific guidance from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). Lack of certainty on EPA-approved, science-based nationwide labels will erode access to current and future pesticides, threatening crops and grower incomes, conservation practices, public health, vital infrastructure, and ultimately raise food prices for families amidst record-high inflation.

Growers and users need reaffirmation from Congress that while states have authority to regulate the sale and use of pesticides within their jurisdiction, they cannot impose labeling or packaging requirements in addition or different from the scientific conclusions of the EPA.

To that end, we support and urge Congress to enact H.R. 4288, the Agricultural Labeling Uniformity Act, bipartisan legislation which would reaffirm federal pesticide labeling uniformity and prevent state and local governments from adopting inconsistent labeling or packaging which would disrupt commerce and access to these vital tools.

EPA RELEASES NEW INTERACTIVE MAPS OF DATA USED IN ENDANGERED SPECIES ACT ASSESSMENTS

The EPA is making the geographic data used to conduct Endangered Species Act (ESA) assessments for pesticides publicly available for the first time via interactive maps. These data are not new. Rather, EPA is making existing data broadly accessible and providing a new tool to help users access the data. The maps also show

which crops are grown near these species and habitats, which can help users determine which pesticides might be used in these areas. EPA relies on the Fish and Wildlife Service and National Marine Fisheries Service (the Services) for information on the biology and location of listed species. As the Services continue to learn more about where some listed species are likely located, information will be updated and refined in the maps.

Prior to this, EPA was technologically unable to release all its ESA Geographic Information System (GIS) data because of the amount of data involved, but advances in technology have allowed EPA to overcome this problem. The maps allow anyone to access the GIS data online, and are particularly useful for federal, state, and local governments, tribal partners, environmental organizations, and pesticide registrants who want to conduct their own endangered species analysis.

Users will have access to information that may be incorporated into future ESA evaluations. EPA will update the spatial data it uses for its ESA analyses on a regular basis and will post updates as they occur. Visit [EPA's website](#) to learn more about these new maps and how to use them.

EPA DID NOT FIND PFAS IN PESTICIDE PRODUCTS TESTED

On May 30, EPA released a summary of the laboratory analysis of 10 pesticide products reported to contain per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS) residues. **EPA did not find any PFAS in the tested pesticide products**, differing from the results of a published study in the Journal of Hazardous Materials. EPA also released its newly developed and validated analytical methodology used in the testing process alongside the summary of its findings. EPA is confident in the results of this newly released method, which is specifically targeted to detect the presence of PFAS in pesticide products formulated with surfactants.

Since learning about potential PFAS contamination in a small number of mosquitocide products in September 2020, EPA has taken a number of steps to address this issue. This includes [releasing data in March 2021](#) that preliminarily determined that PFAS in those specific products was most likely formed from a chemical reaction during the container fluorination process which then leached into the pesticide product, [releasing another study in September 2022](#) testing the leaching potential of PFAS over a specific time into test solutions packaged in different brands of HDPE fluorinated containers, and [notifying manufacturers \(including importers\), processors, distributors, users, and those that dispose of fluorinated HDPE containers and similar plastics](#) that the presence of PFAS formed as a byproduct in these containers may be a violation of the Toxic Substances Control Act.

Following that notification, the Department of Justice, on behalf of EPA, filed a complaint against Inhance, the company that manufactured the plastic mosquitocide containers in which PFAS was found, for its failure to comply with TSCA's notice, review, and determination requirements prior to manufacture.

As a continuation of these ongoing efforts, EPA has completed its verification analysis of a study published in September 2022 in the Journal of Hazardous Materials entitled "[Targeted analysis and Total Oxidizable Precursor assay of several insecticides for PFAS.](#)" This study reported the presence of PFOS in six of 10 pesticide products tested. EPA evaluated the 10 pesticide products included in this study using two different test methods to detect PFAS. The first method was developed by the Agency to specifically measure PFAS in pesticide samples containing surfactants and non-volatile oils, and the second method was used in the study published in the Journal of Hazardous Materials.

CONTINUED on pg 9 >>>

EPA obtained samples of the specific pesticide products from the study author and purchased additional products with the same EPA registration numbers on the open market to conduct analyses. EPA tested all samples using both methods and did not detect the presence of PFOS, nor any of 28 additional PFAS it screened for, above the lowest level that our lab instruments can detect (0.2 parts per billion) in any of the pesticide products using either method of detection. The equipment and methodology used by EPA would have shown PFAS detections if present in those pesticide products given that their level of detection (LOD) is 2,500 times more sensitive than the LOD reported by the equipment used by the study author.

EPA requested additional information, including raw data from the study author, but did not receive any beyond the published results. EPA's study [report](#) contains additional scientific details regarding how the two methods differ and the significance of using the Agency's new method when testing these specific formulations.

One of the most important differences between the two methods is that EPA's [method](#) ensures accurate measuring of PFAS by eliminating interference from the oils and surfactants present in these formulations which can result in false positive detections.

EPA will continue to invest in scientific research to fill gaps in understanding of PFAS, to identify which PFAS may pose human health and ecological risks at which exposure levels and develop methods to better test and measure them.

A FUTURE WITHOUT GLYPHOSATE REPORT

A [new study](#) from Aimpoint Research finds that if glyphosate were no longer available, U.S. farmers would bear the burden of increased input and operating costs, with small farmers disproportionately affected. Further analysis reveals a cascading chain of likely higher-order effects and unintended consequences, the most impactful being the rapid release of additional greenhouse gases and the reversal of decades of conservation

and sustainability gains. Key points from the report:

- Farmers' profits fall as labor costs rise and they turn to more expensive glyphosate alternatives.
- Use of alternatives would represent a 2-2.5X increase in cost/acre while switching to tillage could increase production costs by \$1.9B+
- Small farmers are hit the hardest by decreased profits.
- Costs to consumers rise as food prices experience marginal, inflationary pressures.
- CO2 emissions and fuel use increases.

A Future Without Glyphosate:
<https://report.aimpointresearch.com/>

Lee Van Wychen, Ph.D.
Executive Director of Science Policy
National and Regional Weed Science
Societies
Lee.VanWychen@wssa.net
202-746-4686

WSSA Contacts

Interactive Management Incorporated (IMI)
Eric Gustafson, Executive Secretary
12110 N. Pecos St., Suite #220
Westminster, CO 80234
(720) 977-7940
info@wssa.net

Cambridge University Press
Gabrielle Bauman
Publishing Editor
gabie.bauman@cambridge.org

2024 Annual Meeting
Gregory Dahl
President-Elect
gkdahl@landolakes.com



NECROLOGY

THOMAS B. THREEWITT, SR. **APRIL 5, 1944 — MAY 15, 2023**

Thomas (Tom) Threewitt, Sr. of Garnett, Kansas, passed away peacefully with family by his side.

Tom received his Bachelor's of Science in Plant Science and Master's of Science in Weed Control from Southern Illinois University and his Ph.D. in Weed Science from University of Illinois. He began his career as a field research representative in Kansas for the newly merged company of Ciba-Geigy, staying through several mergers and name changes, retiring from Syngenta after 32 years. He then established his own consulting and contract research company, PACA Ag, LLC.

He was a member of the Weed Science Society of America, North Central Weed Science Society, Western Society of Weed Science, and Council for Agricultural Science and Technology. Tom's expertise and work ethics earned him an invaluable reputation with his peers in both industry and academia.

His full Life Tribute can be viewed here: [Thomas B. Threewitt, Sr.](#)



IMPORTANT CONTACTS

PRESIDENT

Carroll Moseley
Syngenta
carroll.moseley@syngenta

PRESIDENT-ELECT

Gregory Dahl
Winfield United
gkdahl@landolakes.com

VICE-PRESIDENT

Hilary Sandler
UMass Cranberry Station
hsandler@umass.edu

PAST-PRESIDENT

Stanley Culpepper
University of Georgia
stanley@uga.edu

CONSTITUTION/MOPS

John Lindquist
University of Nebraska
Jlindquist1@unl.edu

SECRETARY

Lauren Lazaro
Blue River Technology
lauren.lazaro@bluerivertech.com

TREASURER

Greg Elmore
Bayer Crop Science
Greg.Elmore@Bayer.com

MEMBERS AT LARGE

Michael Flessner
Virginia Tech University
flessner@vt.edu

Lynn Sosnoskie
Cornell University
Lms438@cornell.edu

EXECUTIVE SECRETARY

Eric Gustafson
IMI Group
info@wssa.net

CO-DIRECTORS OF PUBLICATIONS

Chris Willenborg
University of Saskatchewan
chris.willenborg@usask.ca

Sarah Ward
sarah.ward@colostate.edu

NEWSLETTER EDITOR

Carl Libbey
newsletter@wssa.net

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR OF SCIENCE POLICY

Lee Van Wychen
Lee.VanWychen@wssa.net

CAST REP

Jill Schroeder
New Mexico State University
jischroel@gmail.com

GRAD STUDENT REP

Pamela Carvalho-Moore
University of Arkansas
pcarvalh@uark.edu

USDA-NIFA FELLOW

Jim Kells
Michigan State University
kells@msu.edu

EPA LIAISON

Mark VanGessel
University of Delaware
mjv@udel.edu

REGIONAL SOCIETY REPRESENTATIVES:

APMS REP

Ben Sperry
University of Florida
bvsperry@ufl.edu

NEWSS REP

Steven Pyle
Syngenta Crop Protection, LLC
steve.pyle@syngenta.com

NCWSS REP

Brett Miller
Syngenta
brett.miller@syngenta.com

SWSS REP

Peter Dotray
Texas A&M University
(806) 834-3685

WSWS REP

Alan Helm
Gowan
(970) 466-1466
ahelm@gowanco.com

CWSS REP

Darren Robinson
University of Guelph
(519) 674-1500 Ext. 6360
drobinson@uoguelph.ca

International Weed Science Society (IWSS)

Samunder Singh
sam4884@gmail.com

CALENDAR OF UPCOMING EVENTS

DATE	EVENT	LOCATION	CONTACT
November 19–23, 2023	Canadian Weed Science Society (CWSS) Annual Meeting	Winnipeg, Manitoba	www.weedscience.ca
December 11–14, 2023	North Central Weed Science Society (NCWSS) Annual Meeting	Minneapolis, Minnesota	www.ncwss.org
January 8–11, 2024	Northeastern Weed Science Society (NEWSS) Annual Meeting	Gettysburg, Pennsylvania	www.news.org
January 22–25, 2024	Weed Science Society of America (WSSA) and Southern Weed Science Society (SWSS) Joint Annual Meeting	San Antonio, Texas	www.wssa.net and www.swss.ws
March 4–7, 2024	Western Society of Weed Science (WSWS) Annual Meeting	Denver, Colorado	www.wsweedscience.org

Additional Weed Science Meetings and Events can be found at <http://wssa.net/meeting/calendar-of-meetings/>

SEND NEWSLETTER MATERIAL TO:

Carl Libbey
225 S. 10th Street
Mount Vernon, WA 98274
newsletter@wssa.net
Phone: (360) 708-5543